1	XAVIER BECERRA
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DAVID E. HAUSFELD
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 110639
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9437 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA ARCHITECTS BOARD
10	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. LA 2016-14
13	THOMAS KARL DOCZI A C C U S A T I O N
14	71-711 San Jacinto Drive, Ste. C Rancho Mirage, CA 92270
15	Landscape Architect License No. LA 2370
16	Respondent.
17	
18	
19	Complainant alleges:
20	PARTIES
21	1. Douglas R. McCauley (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Landscape Architects Technical Committee (Committee),
23	Department of Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On or about December 30, 1983, the California Architects Board (Board) issued
25	Landscape Architect License Number LA 2370 to Thomas Karl Doczi (Respondent). The
26	Landscape Architect License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
27	brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2019, unless renewed.
28	///
	1
	(THOMAS KARL DOCZI) ACCUSATION

1	
1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, for the Committee, under the authority
3	of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code)
4	unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
6	surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board, Registrar, or Director of
7	jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be
8	renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
9	5. Section 5629 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that "The Board shall prosecute all
10	persons guilty of violating the provisions of this chapter "
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
12	6. Section 490, subdivision (a) of the Code states:
13	In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee,
14	a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
15	
16	7. Section 5676 of the Code states:
17	A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of a felony is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this
18	article. The board may order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been
19	affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of
20 21	Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.
22	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
22	8. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 2655, states:
23	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of the license of a landscape
24	architect pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the
	qualifications, functions, and duties of a landscape architect if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a landscape architect to perform the
26 27	functions authorized by his or her license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to, the
27 28	following:
20	2
	2 (THOMAS KARL DOCZI) ACCUSATION
	(mound with book) needs need

1	
1	(a) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 3.5 of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code.
2	9. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 2656, subdivision (b) states:
3	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of the license of a landscape
4	architect on the grounds that the person licensed has been convicted of a crime, the Board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his or her present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
5	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
6	(2) Total criminal record.
7	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
8	
9	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
10	(5) If applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
11 12	(6) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
13	COSTS
14	10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
15	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
16	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
17	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being
18	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
19	included in a stipulated settlement.
20	CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(August 5, 2016 Criminal Convictions for Vehicular Manslaughter
22	and DUI on February 7, 2015)
22	11. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 490,
	subdivision (a) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the
24	qualifications, functions, and duties of a landscape architect. The circumstances are as follows:
25	
26	a. On or about August 5, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled, <i>People of the</i>
27	State of California v. Thomas Karl Doczi, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number
28	INF1502102, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section
	3
	(THOMAS KARL DOCZI) ACCUSATION

1

1	191.5, subdivision (b), vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated; Vehicle Code section 23153,
2	subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol causing injury; and Vehicle Code section
3	23153, subdivision (b), driving a vehicle while having .08 percent and more of alcohol in his blood
4	and causing injury, all felonies. Respondent further admitted to felony enhancements in that in the
5	commission of the violations of Penal Code section 191.5, and Vehicle Code section 23153,
6	Respondent inflicted great bodily injury upon another person using a deadly and dangerous
7	weapon (Within the meaning of Penal Code, §§ 1192.8 and 1192.7(c)(8)(23)), Respondent
8	inflicted great bodily injury upon another person (Within the meaning of Penal Code, §§
9	12022.7(a) and 1192.7(c)(8)), and Respondent had a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .15
10	and more, while driving a vehicle (Within the meaning of Vehicle Code, § 23578).
11	b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted formal probation for three
12	years, and ordered to serve 180 days in the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff's Department,
13	with a pre-custody credit of one day for time served, and placed on the Secure Continuous Remote
14	Alcohol Monitoring (SCRAM) program. Respondent was also ordered to attend the MADD
15	victim impact panel, to pay fines and fees, and restitution, and to comply with all terms of DUI
16	probation.
17	c. The facts that led to the convictions are that on February 7, 2015, at
18	approximately 2:19 in the morning, Respondent was involved in a fatal collision with a bicyclist
19	while driving home from a bar near Palm Desert. Upon feeling the impact of the collision,
20	Respondent called 911 to report the accident, and officers with the Riverside County Sheriff's
21	Department (RCSD) arrived on the scene shortly after. Upon making contact with Respondent, an
22	RCSD officer detected the strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's
23	person. Respondent's eyes appeared red and watery, and he swayed back and forth while standing.
24	Respondent admitted to consuming one glass of wine at dinner and being the driver of the vehicle;
25	however, during the course of the investigation, it was discovered that Respondent also had an
26	alcoholic beverage at a nearby bar prior to going home. Respondent refused to perform any field
27	sobriety testing, submit to a preliminary alcohol screening test, or answer any questions until
28	speaking with his attorney. Respondent was arrested for vehicular manslaughter. During
	4

1	processing, Respondent refused to submit to a chemical test after being advised of implied consent,
2	and a RCSD officer obtained a search warrant in order to proceed with obtaining a blood sample.
3	The blood sample was analyzed with a BAC of .18 percent approximately three hours after the
4	traffic collision.
5	PRAYER
6	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
7	and that following the hearing, the California Architects Board issue a decision:
8	1. Revoking or suspending Landscape Architect License Number LA 2370, issued to
9	Thomas Karl Doczi;
10	2. Ordering Thomas Karl Doczi to pay the California Architects Board the reasonable
11	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
12	section 125.3; and,
13	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
14	
15	
16	DATED: 11/18/17 DOUGLAS R. MCCAULEY
17	Executive Officer Landscape Architects Technical Committee
18	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
19	Complainant
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	SD2017705633 81776943.doc
27	
28	
	5 (THOMAS KARL DOCZI) ACCUSATION