

**Public Comments for Agenda Item K Handed Out During  
May 29, 2019 LATC Meeting**

To the LATC

RE: Item K for the 5-29-2019 Meeting

Dear LATC members:

As Director of the UCLA Extension Landscape Architecture Program, we welcome this legislation. Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

Sincerely,



Stephanie V. Landregan, F.A.S.L.A

Director, UCLA Extension Landscape Architecture Program

**From:** [Lacey Withers](#)  
**To:** [Clark, Blake@DCA](mailto:Clark.Blake@DCA)  
**Subject:** Item K Review and Possible Action  
**Date:** Thursday, May 23, 2019 2:50:24 PM  
**Attachments:** [image003.jpg](#)

---

[EXTERNAL]:

---

Attention: Blake Clark ([Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov](mailto:Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov))

Re: Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program

Dear LATC members:

Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

**Lacey Withers, ASLA**

*Principal, Landscape Architect*

*Instructor, UCLA Extension*

WITHERS & SANDGREN Landscape Architecture + Planning

Mail: P.O. Box 276 Montrose, CA 91021 | Deliveries: 20948 Tulsa St. Chatsworth, CA 91311

Office: (818) 291-0200 | [Website](#) | [Facebook](#)

**WS LOGO\_Horizontal-only for email**



**From:** [M.Mcdonald](#)  
**To:** [Clark, Blake@DCA](#)  
**Subject:** Agenda Item K, Changes to the Regulations of Extension Programs, May 29, 2019 LATC Meeting  
**Date:** Thursday, May 23, 2019 2:51:52 PM

---

[EXTERNAL]:

---

Attention: Blake Clark ([Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov](mailto:Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov))

Re: Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program

Dear LATC members:

Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension

Programs.

Melissa McDonald

**From:** [Deborah Deets](#)  
**To:** [Clark, Blake@DCA](mailto:Clark,Blake@DCA)  
**Subject:** Re: Agenda Item K Review  
**Date:** Thursday, May 23, 2019 3:28:47 PM

---

[EXTERNAL]:

---

Attention: Blake Clark ([Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov](mailto:Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov))

Re: Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program

Dear LATC members:

Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

As a graduate of the UCLA/UNEX Landscape Architecture Certificate program, as a fellow (FASLA), and as the leading landscape architect for the City of Los Angeles Public Works and Bureau of Sanitation, I would like to see this program recognized so that other highly skilled working adults will have the same opportunity to join the profession as I did.

Deborah

Deborah Deets, FASLA

Landscape Architect QSP/QSD



## Watershed Protection Division

1149 South Broadway, 10th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90015  
Phone: [213-485-3913](tel:213-485-3913)

Fax: [213-485-3939](tel:213-485-3939)

-----Confidentiality Notice-----

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation, which may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message and any attachment without reading or saving in any manner.

**From:** [meg.coffee](#)  
**To:** [Clark, Blake@DCA](mailto:Clark.Blake@DCA)  
**Subject:** Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program  
**Date:** Thursday, May 23, 2019 3:35:42 PM

---

[EXTERNAL]:

---

Dear LATC members:

Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (**SER**) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the

educational program's compliance with this section.”

It the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: “The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13).”

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

--

m e g r u s h i n g c o f f e e, A S L A

landscape architecture & design

[www.mrcladesign.com](http://www.mrcladesign.com)

310-387-5891



May 23, 2019

Attention: Blake Clark ([Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov](mailto:Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov))

Re: Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program

Dear LATC members:

Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, review of DCA Legal Comments, and as a UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program instructor as well as former LATC member and chair, I urge approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (**SER**) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, I suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with

CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13).”

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs. This is an invaluable program for those seeking to become landscape architects that cannot participate in a daytime-only university program. Graduates of this program who have become licensed landscape architects have had a significant impact on the quality of life in California in private firms and agency departments. I urge your support for a directed self -evaluation report.

Sincerely,

**Steve Lang**

*Principal and Landscape Architect #1771*



109 W. Union Avenue  
Fullerton, CA 92832  
714- 871-3638 | [www.migcom.com](http://www.migcom.com)

Francisco A. Behr AIA  
President

Andrew E. Althaus AIA  
Vice President

To the LATC

RE: Item K for the 5-29-2019 Meeting

Dear LATC members:

As an Architect and a Landscape Architecture Instructor at UCLA Extension, I welcome this legislation. Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, I urge approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) I support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(l) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) I believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, I suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.



340 N. Westlake Boulevard  
Suite 250  
Westlake Village  
California 91362  
805.496.1101  
805.494.1421 Fax  
[bba@behrbrowsers.com](mailto:bba@behrbrowsers.com)

**Francisco Behr**

AIA/LEED AP BD+C

President/Design Director

BEHR BROWERS ARCHITECTS INC

ARCHITECTURE  
URBAN DESIGN  
PLANNING  
INTERIORS

LATC /ITEM K



340 N. Westlake Boulevard  
Suite 250  
Westlake Village  
California 91362  
805.496.1101  
805.494.1421 Fax  
[bba@behrbrowsers.com](mailto:bba@behrbrowsers.com)

ARCHITECTURE  
URBAN DESIGN  
PLANNING  
INTERIORS

[www.behrbrowsers.com](http://www.behrbrowsers.com)



24 May 2019

Landscape Architects Technical Committee Members  
State of California Department of Consumer Affairs  
2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 105  
Sacramento, CA 95834-9673

Atten: Blake Clark (Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov)

Subject: Agenda Item K of the LATC Meeting scheduled for 29 May 2019

Dear LATC members:

As licensed Landscape Architect professional with over 24 years of experience, a regular studio critic and invited juror for the UCLA Extension Landscape Architecture Program, I write you today in support of this legislation and ask for your ratification. It is my humble opinion, and observation, that the Program, faculty and instructors are, by far, of the highest caliber as any other Landscape Architecture education program available today. Further, the graduates of the Program are adeptly skilled and well prepared to become outstanding members of our landscape architecture profession.

Specifically, to the legislation, upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments...

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) I support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) I believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (**SER**) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The

**RELM**



revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, I suggest further elaborating on the SER:  
"The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "E. Allan Spulecki". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "E".

E. Allan Spulecki, PLA, ASLA  
Principal

PA Licensed Landscape Architect #001520L  
CA Licensure Pending

cc: Stephanie V. Landregan - Program Director, UCLA Extension  
Department of Landscape Architecture

**Stephen H. Davis**  
Landscape Architect #1960  
672 Lynnmere Drive  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91369

MEMO

**TO:** the LATC

**RE:** Item K for the 5-29-2019

Dear LATC members:

For over twenty years, I have been a strong supporter of the UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program, both as a supervisor of their graduates employed by the City of Los Angeles and as an instructor. Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, I urge approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (**SER**) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

Sincerely,



Stephen Davis, A.S.L.A  
Phone: (805) 493-5202

**From:** [Tricia O'Connell](#)  
**To:** [Clark, Blake@DCA](#)  
**Cc:** [Stephanie Landregan](#); [Melissa McDonald](#)  
**Subject:** Support for 2620.5  
**Date:** Friday, May 24, 2019 5:09:37 PM

---

[EXTERNAL]:

---

Attention: Blake Clark ([Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov](mailto:Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov))

Re: Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program

Dear LATC members:

I graduated from the UCLA Extension Landscape Architecture program in 2015 and am finishing my credentials now to be a licensed landscape architect. I found my four years in the program to be both rigorous and intellectually stimulating and was very pleased with the quality of education I received. I hold a BA from the University of Illinois in Urbana-Champaign and had a successful 20 year career in the film industry prior to enrolling in the Extension program. As a working professional, an evening program was the only option for me to be able to support myself and further my dream of becoming a landscape architect. Additionally I became a mother while in the program, and was able to continue my studies (with the help of an understanding and competent husband!) and am currently happily and gainfully employed in the field. The Extension program works very well for many folks who are juggling numerous commitments, both professional and personal, and fills a much-needed space for motivated individuals to further their careers. I am looking forward to the 2620.5 amendment being ratified.

Upon review of the staff report and revised CCR 2620.5, and review of DCA Legal Comments, UCLA Extension's Landscape Architecture Program urges approval and support of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1) support.

(a)(2) We support removing "The program's literature shall fully and accurately describe the program's philosophy and objectives" **OR** changing it to read, "The program's literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13)."

(a)(9)(I) support.

(a)(b) support.

(a)(c) Support using Alternative (c).

(a)(e) We believe (b) and (i) cover this: (b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section." (Recommend adding (SER)). The revision further states in (i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, we suggest further elaborating on the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the time and consideration in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

Best,  
Tricia O'Connell

Sent from my iPad

May 25, 2019

James H. Curtis, ASLA  
422 Marguerite Avenue  
Corona del Mar, CA 92625

**Department of Consumer Affairs**  
**Landscape Architects Technical Committee**  
2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 105  
Sacramento, CA 95834  
Attention: Blake Clark

*Sent Via E-Mail: [Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov](mailto:Blake.clark@dca.ca.gov)*

**Members**

Marq Truscott, Chair  
Andy Bowden, Vice Chair  
Susan M. Landry  
Patricia Trauth  
Jon Wreschinsky

**Re: Agenda Item K Review and Possible Action on the University of California Extension Certificate Program Subcommittee's Recommendation to Amend CCR, Title 16, Division 26, Section 2620.5 Requirements for an Approved Extension Certificate Program**

Dear Members of the Landscape Architects Technical Committee:

I have been an instructor in the UCLA Extension Landscape Architecture Program since 1980 and have taught a full range of courses including design, professional practice and history. I have had the good fortune of meeting and teaching very capable and highly committed students who would have been unable to become landscape architects without the evening class structure of the UCLA Extension Landscape Architecture Program.

During the past four decades our students have entered the profession upon completion of their studies and have become landscape architects. Their professional contributions – in both private and public practice – have been of great benefit to California and its environment.

I reviewed the staff report, revised CCR 2620.5 and DCA Legal Comments. I support and urge approval of the proposed changes with the following comments and possible amendments:

Per DCA Legal Comments

(a)(1): Support.

(a)(2): Support removing “The program’s literature shall fully and accurately describe the program’s philosophy and objectives” **or** revising it to read, “The program’s literature shall accurately represent the requirements set forth in CCR 2620.5(a)(1-13).”

(a)(9)(I): Support.

(a)(b): Support.

(a)(c): Support, using Alternative (c).

(a)(e): Think that (b) and (i) address this.

(b) "...the program shall apply for Board approval by submitting a self-evaluation report (SER) that shall detail the program's compliance with this section."

(i) "...the educational program shall apply for approval renewal by submitting a self-evaluation report that shall detail the educational program's compliance with this section."

If the content of the SER is not clear, provide elaboration concerning the SER: "The SER shall provide documentation for the reviewed years supporting compliance with CCR 2620.5 requirements (a)(1-13)."

Thank you for the consideration and effort in setting the approval process for the Extension Programs.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James H. Curtis". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a horizontal line at the end.

James H. Curtis, ASLA

CA License No. 1961

Instructor, 1980 to Present

Instructor Co-Chair, 2016 to Present

Instructor Representative to the Guidance Committee, 2016 to Present

Member, 2013 Curriculum Review Committee